# Cryptanalysis of Isogeny-based Cryptography

**James Clements** 

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Intro to Isogenies
- 3. Breaking Decisional DDH
- 4. Generalizations

### 1. Motivation



#### Alice wants a way to communicate with Bob securely.



#### They each have a public key and private key.



#### They send their public keys to each other.



Alice uses her private key and Bob's public key to derive a secret



Similarly, Bob uses his private key and Alice's public key to derive a secret.



Alice and Bob may encrypt messages between them with this secret key.



Eve sees public keys 🔍 🥄 .

But it must be computationally hard for her to compute the shared secret  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

#### **Hard Problems**

Eve sees public keys  $\Im$ .

But it must be computationally hard for her to compute the shared secret  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

#### Hard Problems

Eve sees public keys 🔍 🔍 .

#### But it must be computationally hard for her to compute the shared secret $\mathbf{Q}$ .

#### **Integer Factorisation Problem**

Given an integer N which is the product of two primes  $(N = p \times q)$ Find p and q (RSA)

#### **Discrete Logarithm Problem**

Given a number N which is a number g to a power a ( $N = g^a$ ) Find a

(Diffie-Hellman / ECC)

# Quantum Computers 💿





#### Hard Problems

Eve sees public keys 🔍 🔍 .

#### But it must be computationally hard for her to compute the shared secret $\mathbf{Q}$ .

#### **Integer Factorisation Problem**

Given an integer N which is the product of two primes  $(N = p \times q)$ Find p and q (RSA)

#### **Discrete Logarithm Problem**

Given a number N which is a number g to a power a ( $N = g^a$ ) Find a

(Diffie-Hellman / ECC)

#### Hard Problems



But it must be computationally hard for her to compute the shared secret  $\mathbf{Q}$ .



#### We need new hard problems ...





Source: EvolutionQ: Quantum Threat Timeline Report 2020



 $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$  $a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$  $\vdots$  $a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m,$ 

Multivariate

#### **Error-correcting codes**

0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1





#### 2. Intro to Isogenies

### **Diffie Hellman Key Exchange**

g is a known generator of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ 















Eve sees Alice's point  $\bigcirc$ , and Bob's point  $\bigcirc$ . She knows where they start  $\bigcirc$ .

Shouldn't be able to find the secret point • they end up.



Eve sees Alice's point O, and Bob's point O. She knows where they start O.

Shouldn't be able to find the secret point • they end up.

Not a hard problem

**Not secure** 







#### We need:

• Very large graphs



- Very large graphs
- A way of traversing the graph, without storing/computing the whole thing



- Very large graphs
- A way of traversing the graph, without storing/computing the whole thing
- Vertex labels to look random



- Very large graphs
- A way of traversing the graph, without storing/computing the whole thing
- Vertex labels to look random
- Taking a short walk gets you somewhere uniformly random



- Very large graphs
- A way of traversing the graph, without storing/computing the whole thing
- Vertex labels to look random
- Taking a short walk gets you somewhere uniformly random
- It's hard to find a walk between two given verticies



#### We need:

- Very large graphs
- A way of traversing the graph, without storing/computing the whole thing
- Vertex labels to look random
- Taking a short walk gets you somewhere uniformly random
- It's hard to find a walk between two given verticies

#### ... isogenies!!
• An **elliptic curve** is set of points (*x*, *y*) satisfying an equation:

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

• An **elliptic curve** is set of points (*x*, *y*) satisfying an equation:

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

• Over a field *F*, the set of points on an elliptic curve form an **algebraic group**.

• An elliptic curve is set of points (*x*, *y*) satisfying an equation:

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

- Over a field *F*, the set of points on an elliptic curve form an **algebraic group**.
- An **isogeny** is a non-zero rational map between two elliptic curves that preserves the group structure.  $\varphi : E \to E'$

• An **elliptic curve** is set of points (*x*, *y*) satisfying an equation:

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

- Over a field *F*, the set of points on an elliptic curve form an **algebraic group**.
- An **isogeny** is a non-zero rational map between two elliptic curves that preserves the group structure.  $\varphi : E \to E'$
- The **degree** of an isogeny is the size of it's kernel, i.e. the number of points (*x*, *y*) on *E* that get mapped to the identity on *E*'

Example:

#### **Example:**

Let p = 419, and  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  be elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  defined by:

$$E_1: y^2 = x^3 + 51x^2 + x$$
  $E_2: y^2 = x^3 + 9x^2 + x$ 

#### **Example:**

Let p = 419, and  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  be elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  defined by:

$$E_1: y^2 = x^3 + 51x^2 + x$$
  $E_2: y^2 = x^3 + 9x^2 + x$ 

Then the following map is an isogeny:

$$\varphi: E_1 \to E_2$$

$$\varphi: (x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{x^3 - 183x^2 + 73x + 30}{(x+118)^2}, y\frac{x^3 - 65x^2 - 104x + 174}{(x+118)^3}\right)$$

• Two elliptic curves which are isomorphic are said to be in the same **isomorphism class**.

- Two elliptic curves which are isomorphic are said to be in the same **isomorphism class**.
- We can think of isogenies acting on isomorphism classes. Mapping one isomorphism class to another isomorphism class.

- Two elliptic curves which are isomorphic are said to be in the same **isomorphism class**.
- We can think of isogenies acting on isomorphism classes. Mapping one isomorphism class to another isomorphism class.
- Every isomorphism class has a **j-invariant**. The same for all curves in the class. For a curve in form  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ :

$$j(E) = 1728 \ \frac{4a^3}{4a^3 + 27b^2}$$

• A k-isogeny graph is a graph where:

**Vertices** = isomorphism classes of elliptic curves (labeled by j-invariants) **Edges** = degree k isogenies (and their duals) between classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ 

### • A k-isogeny graph is a graph where:

**Vertices** = isomorphism classes of elliptic curves (labeled by j-invariants) **Edges** = degree k isogenies (and their duals) between classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ 



**Figure 1.** Union of the supersingular  $\ell$ -isogeny graphs for  $\ell \in \{3, 5, 7\}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{419}$ . CSIDH makes use of the larger component, corresponding to curves whose ring of  $\mathbb{F}_{419}$ -rational endomorphisms is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-419}]$ .

• A k-isogeny graph is a graph where:

**Vertices** = isomorphism classes of elliptic curves (labeled by j-invariants) **Edges** = degree k isogenies (and their duals) between classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ 

 Isogeny graphs satisfy all the required properties to perform graph walking Diffie-Hellman securely



**Figure 1.** Union of the supersingular  $\ell$ -isogeny graphs for  $\ell \in \{3, 5, 7\}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{419}$ . CSIDH makes use of the larger component, corresponding to curves whose ring of  $\mathbb{F}_{419}$ -rational endomorphisms is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-419}]$ .

# Walking the graph

• From each vertex of the isogeny graph you can move in a fixed number of directions.



# Walking the graph

• From each vertex of the isogeny graph you can move in a fixed number of directions.



• But, the isogenies from *E* will have completely different formula to the isogenies from a different curve *E*'.

# Walking the graph

• From each vertex of the isogeny graph you can move in a fixed number of directions.



53

- But, the isogenies from E will have completely different formula to the isogenies from a different curve E'.
- To fix this, there is a method for constructing isogeny walks from ideals of the **ideal class group**  $Cl(\mathcal{O})$ . \*

Given an **ideal class**  $[\mathfrak{a}] \in Cl(\mathcal{O})$  and curve *E* we can compute an isogeny:  $\varphi: E \to E/[\mathfrak{a}]$ 

## **Class Group Actions**

- Let *Ell(p)* be the vertex set of the graph.
  i.e. isomorphism classes of curves over F<sub>p<sup>n</sup></sub> for prime p.
- Isogenies act on this set via a map

$$*: Cl(\mathcal{O}) \times Ell(p) \rightarrow Ell(p)$$

$$[\mathfrak{a}] * E = E'$$

# Diffie Hellman Key Exchange

Classical Diffie-Hellman also has a group action:

$$\dagger:\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{F}_p\to\mathbb{F}_p$$

$$a \dagger g = g^a$$

# **Diffie Hellman Key Exchange**

g is a known generator of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ 



# Diffie Hellman Key Exchange

g is a known generator of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ 

Action:  $a \dagger g = g^a$ 



# Isogeny-Based Key Exchange

*E* is a known starting curve.

Action:  $[\mathfrak{a}] * E = E'$ 



## 3. Breaking Decisional DDH

### **Diffie-Hellman Problems**

## **Diffie-Hellman Problems**

### **Classical Diffie-Hellman Problem:**

- Given  $g, g^a, g^b$  find  $g^{ab}$ .
- X Not secure against quantum computers!

## **Diffie-Hellman Problems**

### **Classical Diffie-Hellman Problem:**

Given  $g, g^a, g^b$  find  $g^{ab}$ .

X Not secure against quantum computers!

### **Diffie-Hellman for Class Group Actions Problem:**

Given *E*, [a] \* E, [b] \* E find [ab] \* E.

Secure against quantum computers\*.

\* Up to sub-exponential attacks in some cases.

### **Classical Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem:**

Distinguish between distributions  $(g^a, g^b, g^{ab})$  and  $(g^a, g^b, g^c)$ 

#### **DDH-CGA Problem:**

Distinguish between distributions ([a] \* E, [b] \* E, [ab] \* E) and ([a] \* E, [b] \* E, [c] \* E).

### Classical Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem:

Distinguish between distributions  $(g^a, g^b, g^{ab})$  and  $(g^a, g^b, g^c)$ Easy to break!

Given  $(g^a, g^b, g^c)$  need to check if we have  $g^c$  in form  $g^{ab}$  or not.

Notice that if  $g^a$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  or  $g^b$  is a square, so is  $g^{ab}$ .

```
Then g^c is not a square \Rightarrow g^c not in form g^{ab}.
```

# Classical Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem:

Distinguish between distributions  $(g^a, g^b, g^{ab})$  and  $(g^a, g^b, g^c)$ Easy to break!

Given  $(g^a, g^b, g^c)$  need to check if we have  $g^c$  in form  $g^{ab}$  or not.

Notice that if  $g^a$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  or  $g^b$  is a square, so is  $g^{ab}$ .

$$g^c \text{ in form } g^{ab} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{g^a}{p}\right) \vee \left(\frac{g^b}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{g^c}{p}\right) \qquad \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \coloneqq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is square} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is not a square} \\ 0 & \text{if } p \text{ divides } n \end{cases}$$

#### **DDH-CGA Problem:**

Distinguish between distributions  $([\mathfrak{a}] * E, [\mathfrak{b}] * E, [\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}] * E)$  and  $([\mathfrak{a}] * E, [\mathfrak{b}] * E, [\mathfrak{c}] * E)$ .

Can we do something similar for DDH-CGA?

#### **DDH-CGA Problem:**

Distinguish between distributions ([a] \* E, [b] \* E, [ab] \* E) and ([a] \* E, [b] \* E, [c] \* E).

Can we do something similar for DDH-CGA?

The hardness of this problem underlies the security of several protocols built on-top of the CSIDH group action.

Elliptic Curves are either **supersingular** or **ordinary**. We care more about supersingular curves for cryptography.

Elliptic Curves are either **supersingular** or **ordinary**. We care more about supersingular curves for cryptography.

In 2020, Castryck, Sotáková and Vercauteren, found an attack against DDH-CGA for **ordinary** elliptic curves.

Elliptic Curves are either **supersingular** or **ordinary**. We care more about supersingular curves for cryptography.

In 2020, Castryck, Sotáková and Vercauteren, found an attack against DDH-CGA for **ordinary** elliptic curves.

The attack is similar to the attack against Decisional Diffie-Hellman shown previously.

 $Cl(\mathcal{O}) = \{ [\mathfrak{u}_1], [\mathfrak{u}_2], [\mathfrak{u}_3], \dots, [\mathfrak{u}_4], [\mathfrak{u}_5], [\mathfrak{u}_6], \dots [\mathfrak{u}_7], [\mathfrak{u}_8], [\mathfrak{u}_9], \dots \}$
#### $Cl(\mathcal{O}) = \{ [\mathfrak{u}_1], [\mathfrak{u}_2], [\mathfrak{u}_3], \dots, [\mathfrak{u}_4], [\mathfrak{u}_5], [\mathfrak{u}_6], \dots [\mathfrak{u}_7], [\mathfrak{u}_8], [\mathfrak{u}_9], \dots \}$ Take Norms $N([\mathfrak{u}]) := \left| \frac{\mathcal{O}}{[\mathfrak{u}]} \right| \quad \text{'size' of an ideal}$

#### 



**Genera** = { {  $N([u_1]), N([u_2]), ...$  } {  $N([u_4]), N([u_5]), ...$  } {  $N([u_7]), N([u_8]), ...$  }







Applying characters to  $N([\mathfrak{u}])$  tell us what genera  $[\mathfrak{u}]$  lies within.



Applying characters to N([u]) tell us what genera [u] lies within.

If [ab] and [c] lie in different genera, then [ab] \* E is not in form [c] \* E

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

Q. What are these characters  $\chi_i$ ?

**Q.** Ideal classes [a] are secret. How do we compute  $\chi_q(N([a]))$  just from public curves *E* and [a] \* E?

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

A binary quadratic form is a function of form  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  for integers a, b, c.

It has discriminant  $b^2 - 4ac$ . If f(x, y) = k for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say f represents k.

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

A binary quadratic form is a function of form  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  for integers a, b, c.

It has discriminant  $b^2 - 4ac$ . If f(x, y) = k for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say f represents k.

Two forms are **equivalent** if they have the same discriminant and there is a change of basis between them  $(x, y) \mapsto (\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta z)$ . Equivalent forms represent the same values.

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

A binary quadratic form is a function of form  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  for integers a, b, c.

It has discriminant  $b^2 - 4ac$ . If f(x, y) = k for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say f represents k.

Two forms are **equivalent** if they have the same discriminant and there is a change of basis between them  $(x, y) \mapsto (\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta z)$ . Equivalent forms represent the same values.

Take forms\* of discriminant *D*, group them together into **equivalence classes**. Let C(D) be the set of these classes. It is actually a group. The **form class group**.

<sup>\*</sup> Actually only primitive positive definite forms.

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

A binary quadratic form is a function of form  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  for integers a, b, c.

It has discriminant  $b^2 - 4ac$ . If f(x, y) = k for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say f represents k.

Two forms are **equivalent** if they have the same discriminant and there is a change of basis between them  $(x, y) \mapsto (\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta z)$ . Equivalent forms represent the same values.

Take forms\* of discriminant D, group them together into equivalence classes. Let C(D) be the set of these classes. It is actually a group. The form class group.

**Theorem:** There is an equivalence,  $Cl(\mathcal{O}) \cong C(D)$ .

<sup>\*</sup> Actually only primitive positive definite forms.

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

A binary quadratic form is a function of form  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  for integers a, b, c.

It has discriminant  $b^2 - 4ac$ . If f(x, y) = k for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say f represents k.

Two forms are **equivalent** if they have the same discriminant and there is a change of basis between them  $(x, y) \mapsto (\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta z)$ . Equivalent forms represent the same values.

Take forms\* of discriminant D, group them together into equivalence classes. Let C(D) be the set of these classes. It is actually a group. The form class group.

**Theorem:** There is an equivalence,  $Cl(\mathcal{O}) \cong C(D)$ .

**Genera** := Classes of quadratic forms classes representing the same set of values.

<sup>\*</sup> Actually only primitive positive definite forms.

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

Q. What are these characters  $\chi_i$ ?

Simplified a lot.

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

Q. What are these characters  $\chi_i$ ?

For  $[f] \sim [a]$ , values represented in [f] = norms of ideals in [a].

Principal ideals  $[\langle 1 \rangle]$  have the set of norms (genera) as squares in  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$ . For other ideals/form classes, genera are cosets of set of squares. We decompose  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$  by CRT.

For primes  $q \mid \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$  we take  $\chi_q : \mathbb{Z} \to \{0, 1\}$  defined by  $\chi_q(n) = \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)$ 

There are exactly  $2^{\mu}$  genera where  $\mu = \#$  characters

 $\Rightarrow$  Characters perfectly distinguish between genera

 $\chi_q(N([\mathfrak{a}])) \times \chi_q(N([\mathfrak{b}])) = \chi_q(N([\mathfrak{ab}]))$ 

Simplified a lot.

Q. How do we group ideal classes into 'genera'?

**Q**. What are these characters  $\chi_i$ ?

**Q.** Ideal classes  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  are secret. How do we compute  $\chi_q(N([\mathfrak{a}]))$  just from public curves *E* and  $[\mathfrak{a}] * E$ ?

Isogeny graphs have a volcano-like structure, split into layers with a surface and floor. Starting from curves E and  $[\alpha] * E$ , walk to the floor.

You can recover  $\left(\frac{N([a])}{q}\right)$  using pairings on *q*-torsion points. Details omitted.

**Theorem:** Let *E* be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . Then:

- 1. If *E* is ordinary, End(E) is isomorphic to an **imaginary quadratic order**,  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .
- 2. If E is supersingular, End(E) isomorphic to a maximal quaternion order.

Case 1 is where the previous attack applied.

But supersingular curves in case 2 are better for cryptography. How can we attack them instead?

For supersingular curves, the class group action is defined differently ...

An  $\mathcal{O}$ -oriented elliptic curve is a pair  $(E, \iota)$  where  $\iota : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow End(E)$  is an embedding.

An  $\mathcal{O}$ -oriented elliptic curve is a pair  $(E, \iota)$  where  $\iota : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow End(E)$  is an embedding.

The group action of applying isogenies to  $\mathcal{O}$ -oriented curves can similarly be defined using  $Cl(\mathcal{O})$ . Here  $\mathcal{O}$  is also an imaginary quadratic order, just like in the ordinary case.

An  $\mathcal{O}$ -oriented elliptic curve is a pair  $(E, \iota)$  where  $\iota : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow End(E)$  is an embedding.

The group action of applying isogenies to  $\mathcal{O}$ -oriented curves can similarly be defined using  $Cl(\mathcal{O})$ . Here  $\mathcal{O}$  is also an imaginary quadratic order, just like in the ordinary case.

The DDH-CGA attack generalises to this setting.

# Breaking CSIDH DDH-CGA?

We have attacks against DDH-CGA for ordinary curves, and oriented supersingular curves.

## Breaking CSIDH DDH-CGA?

We have attacks against DDH-CGA for ordinary curves, and oriented supersingular curves.

Doesn't apply to CSIDH, which uses  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-p}}{2}\right]$ -oriented supersingular curves.

## Breaking CSIDH DDH-CGA?

We have attacks against DDH-CGA for ordinary curves, and oriented supersingular curves.

Doesn't apply to CSIDH, which uses  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-p}}{2}\right]$ -oriented supersingular curves.

Recall characters come from primes dividing  $\Delta_O$  (ramified). For CSIDH, there is only one prime divisor p. One character. It's trivial.

#### 4. Generalizations / Variants

#### From Quadratics to Cubics?

While  $Cl(\mathcal{O})[2] \cong \frac{Cl(\mathcal{O})}{Cl(\mathcal{O})^2}$  is trivial for CSIDH,  $Cl(\mathcal{O})[3] \cong \frac{Cl(\mathcal{O})}{Cl(\mathcal{O})^3}$  is not.

#### From Quadratics to Cubics?

While 
$$Cl(\mathcal{O})[2] \cong \frac{Cl(\mathcal{O})}{Cl(\mathcal{O})^2}$$
 is trivial for CSIDH,  $Cl(\mathcal{O})[3] \cong \frac{Cl(\mathcal{O})}{Cl(\mathcal{O})^3}$  is not.

#### Can we generalise genus theory to get cubes instead of squares?

Consider binary cubic forms:

$$f(x, y) = ax^3 + by^3 + cx^2y + dxy^2$$

Cannot decompose sets of values represented by these forms into cosets of cubes ... genus theory breaks. Then cubic residue characters are not consistent across sets of norms of ideal classes.

## **Higher Dimensional Genus Theory**

# **Higher Dimensional Genus Theory**

There is a natural generalization of genus theory. To forms in more variables:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

E.g. For binary quadratic forms:

$$Cl(\mathcal{O}_{K}) \cong \{K - \text{lattices of order } \mathcal{O}_{K}\} \cong C(\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_{K}})$$
  
ideal  $\mathfrak{a} = \alpha \mathbb{Z} + \beta \mathbb{Z} \qquad \mapsto N(\alpha x + \beta y)/N(\mathfrak{a})$ 

Genera = Sets of locally isometric lattices.

#### How about Quaternions?

Recall supersingular curves have endomorphism rings as maximal quaternion orders O, where (left) ideals give isogenies.

#### How about Quaternions?

Recall supersingular curves have endomorphism rings as maximal quaternion orders O, where (left) ideals give isogenies.

We have higher dimensional genera. Isometry classes of:

 $Cl_{left}(\mathcal{O}) \cong \{4-\text{dim lattices of order } \mathcal{O}\} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Non-degenerate quadratic} \\ \text{spaces in 4 variables} \end{cases}$ 

... but there is no known way to construct multiplicative characters to distinguish between these genera.

# Lifting to genus 2?

 $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$  each  $q_i$  gives character as before. Want more. We can extend our field to split these primes?  $q_i = q_i^1 q_i^2$ 

How to apply this to CSIDH?

# Lifting to genus 2?

 $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$  each  $q_i$  gives character as before. Want more. We can extend our field to split these primes?  $q_i = q_i^1 q_i^2$ 

How to apply this to CSIDH?

... Weil restrictions. Elliptic curves can be lifted to principally polarizable abelian surfaces. Endomorphism algebra is a quaternion algebra over number field.

We get splitting. But only for  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , not for CSIDH.

Perhaps we can fix it. The journey continues.

#### **Questions?**

# **Other Projects/Interests**

- Hard Problems
  - Reductions between hard isogeny problems. Finding where exactly the hardness lies.
  - Solving quaternion analogues of isogeny problems.
  - Trying to break new hardness assumptions which have some additional structure.
- Finding parameters to improve quantum security of isogeny schemes.
- Using alternative forms of elliptic curves to speed up isogeny schemes or attacks against them.
- Other applications of the link between ideal class groups and quadratic forms.